Application No. 10/672,938 Amendment Dated October 3, 2006 Reply to Office Action of September 19, 2006

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed September 19, 2006, the Examiner indicated that the application contains claims directed to three patentably distinct species of the invention. Specifically, the Examiner stated that Species I was directed to the concept of moving a graphic element displayed on a first display to a second display; Species II was directed to obtaining a graphical element and allocating the graphical element to the first or second display; and Species III was directed to the concept of simultaneously displaying graphical elements scaled to a high resolution on a high resolution display and graphical elements scaled to a low resolution on a low resolution display. The Examiner requested that the applicant elect a single disclosed species for prosecution to which the claims will be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

By the present response, the applicants hereby elect to prosecute Species I. Based upon a review of the application, it is believed that claims 1-6, 25-34 and 35-41 are directed to the subject matter of Species I. The remaining claims in the application have been withdrawn. This election is being made without traverse.

The Examiner is invited to contact the applicants' undersigned attorney with any questions or comments, or to otherwise facilitate prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

Joseph D. Kuborn

Reg. No. 40,689

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, St. 1100 Milwaukee, WI 53202 (414) 271-7590